

Two-mode Nonlinear Coherent States

Xiao-Guang Wang*

Chinese Center of Advanced Science and Technology (World Laboratory),

P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080

and Laboratory of Optical Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, Beijing, 100080, P. R. China

(April 12, 2000)

Abstract

Two-mode nonlinear coherent states are introduced in this paper. The pair coherent states and the two-mode Perelomov coherent states are special cases of the two-mode nonlinear coherent states. The exponential form of the two-mode nonlinear coherent states is given. The photon-added or photon-subtracted two-mode nonlinear coherent states are found to be two-mode nonlinear coherent states with different nonlinear functions. The parity coherent states are introduced as examples of two-mode nonlinear coherent states, and they are superpositions of two corresponding coherent states. We also discuss how to generate the parity coherent states in the Kerr medium.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Dv, 03.65.Db

Typeset using REVTeX

*email:xyw@aphy.iphy.ac.cn, or xiao-guang.wang@physik.tu-chemnitz.de, Received 31. 1. 2000, Revised 30. 3. 2000, Accepted 2. 4. 2000 in Optics Communications by Wolfgang P. Schleich, Editor, Editor's number: WS 1744

I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent states of a simple harmonic oscillator [1] have considerable applications in the study of quantum optics. Recently another type of coherent states, nonlinear coherent states (NLCSs) [2,3] as well as their superpositions [4], have been introduced and studied. The so-called nonlinear coherent states are defined as the right-hand eigenstates of the product of the boson annihilation operator a and a non-constant function of number operator $\hat{N} = a^\dagger a$,

$$f(\hat{N})a|\alpha, f\rangle = \alpha|\alpha, f\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $f(\hat{N})$ is an operator-valued function of the number operator and α is a complex eigenvalue. The ordinary coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ are recovered for the special choice of $f(\hat{N}) = 1$. A class of NLCSs can be realized physically as the stationary states of the center-of-mass motion of a trapped ion [2]. These NLCSs exhibit various non-classical features like squeezing and self-splitting. The notion of the NLCS was generalized to the two-photon NLCS [5]. One two-photon NLCS is the squeezed vacuum state and another is squeezed first Fock state [5,6]. We want to generalize the notion of the NLCS to two-mode case in this paper.

In a previous paper [7], we have given an exponential form of one-mode NLCS $|\alpha, f\rangle$ and proved that photon-added one-mode NLCSs are still NLCSs. In analogy to the definition of the one-mode NLCS, the two-mode nonlinear coherent state(TMNLCS) is defined as

$$f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)ab|\alpha, f, q\rangle = \alpha|\alpha, f, q\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where a and b are boson annihilation operators; $f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)$ is the function of the number operator $\hat{N}_a = a^\dagger a$ and $\hat{N}_b = b^\dagger b$; q is the photon number difference between two modes of the field. In section II, we give the expansion and exponential form of the TMNLCS. In section III and IV, we discuss the photon-added TMNLCS and photon-subtracted TMNLCS. Section V introduces the parity pair coherent states and

parity two-mode Perelomov coherent states as interesting examples of the TMNLCSs.

A conclusion is given in section VI.

II. EXPANSION AND EXPONENTIAL FORM OF THE TMNLCS.

We next determine the solution to the eigenvalue equation (Eq.(2)). The TMNLCS has the form

$$|\alpha, f, q\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n |n+q, n\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Substituting Eq.(3) into Eq.(2), we find the recursion relation among the coefficients C_n 's

$$C_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha}{f(n+q, n)\sqrt{(n+1)(n+q+1)}} C_n, \quad (4)$$

$$C_n = \alpha^n \sqrt{\frac{q!}{n!(n+q)!}} \left[\prod_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{f(m+q-1, m-1)} \right] C_0. \quad (5)$$

Thus the expansion of the TMNLCS is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha, f, q\rangle &= C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \sqrt{\frac{q!}{n!(n+q)!}} \left[\prod_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{f(m+q-1, m-1)} \right] |n+q, n\rangle \\ &= C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n q! a^{\dagger n} b^{\dagger n}}{n!(n+q)!} \left[\prod_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{f(m+q-1, m-1)} \right] |q, 0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

One can show that

$$[g(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) a^{\dagger} b^{\dagger}]^n = a^{\dagger n} b^{\dagger n} \prod_{m=1}^n g(\hat{N}_a + m, \hat{N}_b + m). \quad (7)$$

Here $g(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)$ is an arbitrary function of \hat{N}_a and \hat{N}_b . Then using Eq.(7) with

$$g(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) = \frac{\alpha}{f(\hat{N}_a - 1, \hat{N}_b - 1)\hat{N}_a}, \quad (8)$$

the state $|\alpha, f, q\rangle$ is finally written in the exponential form

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha, f, q\rangle &= C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[g(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) a^{\dagger} b^{\dagger}]^n}{n!} |q, 0\rangle \\ &= C_0 \exp[g(\hat{N}) a^{\dagger} b^{\dagger}] |q, 0\rangle \\ &= C_0 \exp\left[\frac{\alpha}{f(\hat{N}_a - 1, \hat{N}_b - 1)\hat{N}_a} a^{\dagger} b^{\dagger}\right] |q, 0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here, C_0 can be determined as

$$C_0 = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q!|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!(n+q)!} \left[\prod_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{f(m+q-1, m-1)} \right]^2 \right\}^{-1/2}. \quad (10)$$

In fact, by direct verification, we have

$$[f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b)ab, \frac{1}{f(\hat{N}_a-1, \hat{N}_b-1)\hat{N}_a} a^\dagger b^\dagger] = 1. \quad (11)$$

From the above commutation relations and the definition of the TMNLCS, we can also obtain the exponential form of the TMNLCS. Next we want to give some examples of the TMNLCS.

The pair coherent state is an important correlated two-mode field. It is defined as [8]

$$ab|\zeta, q\rangle = \zeta|\zeta, q\rangle, \quad (12)$$

which is the state $|\alpha, f, q\rangle$ with $f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) \equiv 1$. Then from Eq.(2), (9) and (12), the exponential form of the pair coherent state is

$$|\zeta, q\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{\zeta}{\hat{N}_a} a^\dagger b^\dagger\right)|q, 0\rangle \quad (13)$$

up to a normalization constant.

Another important correlated two-mode field is the two-mode Perelomov coherent state, which is defined as [9]

$$|\xi, q\rangle = \exp(\xi a^\dagger b^\dagger - \xi^* ab)|q, 0\rangle \quad (14)$$

We find that the state $|\xi, q\rangle$ satisfies the equation [6]

$$\frac{2}{\hat{N}_a + \hat{N}_b + q + 2} ab|\xi, q\rangle = \frac{\xi \tanh(|\xi|)}{|\xi|} |\xi, q\rangle, \quad (15)$$

which shows that two-mode Perelomov coherent states are TMNLCSs with the non-linear function $2/(\hat{N}_a + \hat{N}_b + q + 2)$. In the subspace $F_q \equiv \text{span}\{|n+q, n\rangle | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of the two-mode Fock space, Eq.(15) can be simplified as

$$\frac{1}{\hat{N}_a + 1} ab|\xi, q\rangle = \frac{\xi \tanh(|\xi|)}{|\xi|} |\xi, q\rangle, \quad (16)$$

Then From Eq.(9) , the exponential form of the state $|\xi, q\rangle$ is obtained as

$$|\xi, q\rangle = \exp\left[\frac{\xi \tanh(|\xi|)}{|\xi|} a^\dagger b^\dagger\right] |q, 0\rangle \quad (17)$$

up to a normalization constant. Actually using the well-known identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(\xi a^\dagger b^\dagger - \xi^* ab) \\ &= \exp\left[\frac{\xi \tanh(|\xi|)}{|\xi|} a^\dagger b^\dagger\right] \left[\frac{1}{\cosh(|\xi|)}\right]^{\hat{N}_a + \hat{N}_b + 1} \exp\left[\frac{-\xi^* \tanh(|\xi|)}{|\xi|} ab\right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

one can directly verify Eq.(17).

One special type of two-mode Perelomov coherent state, $|\xi, 0\rangle$, is the eigenstate of the two-mode phase operator $1/\sqrt{(1 + \hat{N}_a)(1 + \hat{N}_b)} ab$ (also called two-mode squeezed vacuum state) [10]. The eigenstate of the two-mode phase operator seems different from the state $|\xi, 0\rangle$. However, since $q = 0$, one can show that the operator $1/(1 + \hat{N}_a) ab$ is identical to the two-mode phase operator in the subspace $F_0 \equiv \text{span}\{|n, n\rangle | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of the two-mode Fock space.

III. PHOTON-ADDED TMNLCS

The photon-added quantum states were first introduced by Agarwal and Tara [11] as photon-added coherent states. Sivakumar found that the photon-added coherent states are NLCSs [12]. As a generalization of his work, we have given a more general result that the photon-added NLCSs are still NLCSs [7].

In this section, we consider the photon-added TMNLCS which is defined as

$$|m, n, \alpha, f, q\rangle = \frac{a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n} |\alpha, f, q\rangle}{\langle \alpha, f, q | b^n a^m a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n} | \alpha, f, q \rangle}. \quad (19)$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(2) by $a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n}$ from the left yields

$$a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n} f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) ab |\alpha, f, q\rangle = \alpha a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n} |\alpha, f, q\rangle. \quad (20)$$

By the fact

$$a^{\dagger m} f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) = f(\hat{N}_a - m, \hat{N}_b) a^{\dagger m}, \quad (21)$$

$$b^{\dagger n} f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) = f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b - n) b^{\dagger n}, \quad (22)$$

Eq.(20) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\hat{N}_a - m, \hat{N}_b - n)(\hat{N}_a - m + 1)(\hat{N}_b - n + 1) a^{\dagger(m-1)} b^{\dagger(n-1)} |\alpha, f, q\rangle \\ &= \alpha a^{\dagger m} b^{\dagger n} |\alpha, f, q\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Multiplying the both sides of the above equation by ab from the left, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\hat{N}_a - m + 1, \hat{N}_b - n + 1)(\hat{N}_a - m + 2)(\hat{N}_b - n + 2) \\ & \times ab a^{\dagger(m-1)} b^{\dagger(n-1)} |\alpha, f, q\rangle \\ &= \alpha(\hat{N}_a + 1)(\hat{N}_b + 1) a^{\dagger(m-1)} b^{\dagger(n-1)} |\alpha, f, q\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now we replace $m - 1(n - 1)$ by $m(n)$ and multiply the both sides of Eq.(24) from the left by the operator $[(\hat{N}_a + 1)(\hat{N}_b + 1)]^{-1}$, the following equation is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\hat{N}_a - m, \hat{N}_b - n) \left(1 - \frac{m}{\hat{N}_a + 1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{n}{\hat{N}_b + 1}\right) ab |m, n, \alpha, f, q\rangle \\ &= \alpha |m, n, \alpha, f, q\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From Eq.(25), we can see that that the photon-added TMNLCs are still TMNLCs with the corresponding nonlinear function $f(\hat{N}_a - m, \hat{N}_b - n)[1 - m/(\hat{N}_a + 1)][1 - n/(\hat{N}_b + 1)]$. The above discussion can be directly generalized to the multi-mode case, but here we restrict us to the two-mode case.

Lu and Guo studied the nonclassical properties of the photon-added pair coherent states [13]. As seen from the definition of pair coherent state and Eq.(25), we conclude that the photon-added pair coherent states are TMNLCs with the nonlinear function $[1 - m/(\hat{N}_a + 1)][1 - n/(\hat{N}_b + 1)]$.

The photon-added two-mode Perelomov's coherent states [14] are introduced and studied. From Eq.(16) and (25) we see that the photon-added two-mode Perelomov coherent states are TMNLCs with the nonlinear function $[1 - m/(\hat{N}_a + 1)][1 - n/(\hat{N}_b + 1)]/(\hat{N}_a - m + 1)$.

IV. PHOTON-SUBTRACTED TMNLCS

By analogy to the definition of photon-added TMNLCS, the photon-subtracted TMNLCS is defined as

$$|-m, -n, \alpha, f, q\rangle = \frac{a^m b^n |\alpha, f, q\rangle}{\langle \alpha, f, q | b^{\dagger n} a^{\dagger m} a^m b^n | \alpha, f, q \rangle}. \quad (26)$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(2) by $a^m b^n$ from the left yields

$$a^m b^n f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) ab |\alpha, f, q\rangle = \alpha a^m b^n |\alpha, f, q\rangle. \quad (27)$$

Using the identity

$$a^m b^n f(\hat{N}_a, \hat{N}_b) = f(\hat{N}_a + m, \hat{N}_b + m) a^m b^n, \quad (28)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\hat{N}_a + m, \hat{N}_b + n) ab |-m, -n, \alpha, f, q\rangle \\ &= \alpha |-m, -n, \alpha, f, q\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From the above equation, we see that the photon-subtracted state is a TMNLCS with the nonlinear function $f(\hat{N}_a + m, \hat{N}_b + n)$. Then photon-subtracted pair coherent states are still pair coherent states, and photon-subtracted two-mode Perelomov coherent states are TMNLCSs with the nonlinear function $1/(\hat{N}_a + 1 + m)$.

V. PARITY PAIR COHERENT STATES

By analogy to the definition in the references [15,16], we define the parity operator Π in the subspace F_q as

$$\Pi = (-1)^{\hat{N}_b}, \quad \Pi^2 = 1, \quad \Pi^\dagger = \Pi. \quad (30)$$

Now we solve the eigenvalue equation

$$\Pi ab |\zeta, q\rangle_\Pi = \zeta |\zeta, q\rangle_\Pi. \quad (31)$$

and call the state $|\zeta, q\rangle_{\Pi}$ the parity pair coherent state following the term of the parity harmonic oscillator coherent state [15]. Comparing Eq.(2) and Eq.(31), we know that the parity pair coherent state is a TMNLCS with the nonlinear function $(-1)^{\hat{N}_b}$.

From Eq.(6) and (31), the expansion of the parity pair coherent state is easily obtained as

$$|\zeta, q\rangle_{\Pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{q!}{n!(n+q)!}} \zeta^n (-1)^{-n(n-1)/2} |n+q, n\rangle. \quad (32)$$

The state $|\zeta, q\rangle_{\Pi}$ can be rewritten as

$$|\zeta, q\rangle_{\Pi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-i\pi/4} |i\zeta, q\rangle + e^{i\pi/4} |-i\zeta, q\rangle \right), \quad (33)$$

which is a superposition of two pair coherent states with phase difference π . The form of this parity coherent states is similar to that of the parity harmonic oscillator coherent states [15,17].

The parity pair coherent state can be generated in the nonlinear Kerr medium. The Hamiltonian describing the Kerr medium is [18,19]

$$H = \omega \hat{N}_b + \gamma \hat{N}_b (\hat{N}_b - 1), \quad (34)$$

where ω is the frequency of mode b , and the parameter γ is proportional to the third-order nonlinear susceptibility χ^3 . The evolution operator in the interaction picture is

$$U = e^{-i\gamma t \hat{N}_b (\hat{N}_b - 1)} \quad (35)$$

We assume the initial state is pair coherent state and let $\gamma t = \pi$, then the final state is just the parity pair coherent state (Eq.(32))

Analogously we can define the parity two-mode Perelomov coherent states as the TMNLCSs with the nonlinear function $(-1)^{\hat{N}_b}/(\hat{N}_a + 1)$ and the state can be written as

$$|\xi, q\rangle_{\Pi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{-i\pi/4} |i\xi, q\rangle + e^{i\pi/4} |-i\xi, q\rangle \right), \quad (36)$$

which is the superposition of two two-photon Perelomov coherent states. Similarly the parity two-mode Perelomov coherent states can be generated in the Kerr medium.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have introduced the two-mode nonlinear coherent states. The Perelomov coherent states, parity pair coherent states and parity Perelomov coherent states are examples of the two-mode nonlinear coherent states. An interesting class of TMNLCSs are the photon-added or photon-subtracted two-mode nonlinear coherent states. The corresponding nonlinear functions are obtained for these states. Special examples, photon-added (subtracted) pair coherent states and photon-added (subtracted) two-mode Perelomov coherent states are discussed and the corresponding nonlinear functions are obtained. The parity coherent states can be generated in the Kerr medium when the corresponding coherent states are the input states. They are the superpositions of two corresponding coherent states. The notation of the TMNLCS can be directly generalized to the multi-mode case. The work on the multi-mode nonlinear coherent states are in progress.

Acknowledgement: The author is grateful for many valuable discussions with Dr. Barry C. Sanders during his visit to Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China with grant number:19875008.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10**, 277 (1963);
R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 2539 (1963);
R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **131**, 2766 (1963);
W. M. Zhang, D. H. Feng and R. Gilmore, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 867 (1990).
- [2] R. L. de Matos Filho and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 4560 (1996).
- [3] V. I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, E. C. G. Sudarshan,
and F. Zaccaria, *Physica Scripta*, **55** 528 (1997);
V. I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, and F. Zaccaria, quant-ph/9703020;
O. V. Man'ko, *Phys. Lett. A* **228**, 29 (1997);
G. Junker and P. Roy, *Phys. Lett. A* **257**, 113 (1997)
- [4] S. Mancini, *Phys. Lett. A* **233**, 291 (1997);
B. Roy, *Phys. Lett. A* **249**, 25 (1998);
B. Roy and P. Roy, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **1**, 341 (1999);
B. Roy and P. Roy, *Phys. Lett. A* **257**, 264 (1999).
- [5] S. Sivakumar, *Phys. Lett. A* **250**, 257 (1998).
- [6] X. G. Wang, Los-Alamos e-print: quant-ph/0001002
- [7] X. G. Wang and H. C. Fu, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B*, **13**, 617 (1999).
- [8] G. S. Agarwal, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, **B5**, 1940 (1988).
- [9] A. M. Peremolov, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26**, 222 (1972).
- [10] G. S. Agarwal, *Opt. Commun.*, **100**, 479 (1993).
- [11] G. S. Agarwal and K. Tara, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 492 (1991).
- [12] S. Sivakumar, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32**, 3441 (1999).
- [13] H. Lu and G. C. Guo, *Acta Physica Sinica (Overseas Edition)*, **8**, 577 (1999).
- [14] Z. X. Zhang and H. Y. Fan, *Phys. Lett. A*, **174**, 206 (1993);
H. Lu, *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, **16**, 646 (1999).
- [15] V. Spiridonov, *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 1909 (1995).

- [16] X. G. Wang, B. C. Sanders and S. H. Pan, Los-Alamos e-print: quant-ph/0001073
- [17] B. Yurke and D. Stoler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 13 (1986).
- [18] M. Kitagawa and Y. Yamamoto, *Phys. Rev. A* **34**, 3974 (1986).
- [19] P. Král, *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 4177 (1990).